

**From:** [Rigassio-Smith, Anita](#)  
**To:** [Dave Dickerson](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Draft Alternative #4 2-Cell at \$80M/YR  
**Date:** 06/04/2009 03:41 PM  
**For Follow Up:** Normal Priority.  
**Attachments:** Vol & Disp Assump\_Apex Alt 1\_2Cellrev3.xls

---

Hi Dave,

Because we are using the glaciofluvial material from the UHCC to cap the LHCC the removal effort is included in the capping of LHCC task. For the LHCC cap task we assumed hydraulically dredging the material from the UHCC and depositing it as a cap over the LHCC. I put a description near the top of page 3.

I am attaching the spreadsheet that shows the distribution of various materials. The UHCC organics are 51,429 cy because the capacity of the UHCC needs to be only 225,252 cy.

Anita

-----Original Message-----

From: dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:dickerson.dave@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, June 04, 2009 2:56 PM  
To: Rigassio-Smith, Anita  
Subject: Re: Draft Alternative #4 2-Cell at \$80M/YR

thanks Anita - I look forward to reviewing this. One thing that jumps out at me initially though is that I don't see removal of the UHCC except for the 51,429 cy of organics...did I miss something??

Dave

"Rigassio-Smith,  
Anita"  
<Anita.Rigassio-Smith@jacobs.com>

06/04/2009 02:00 PM

To  
Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA,  
ElaineT Stanley/R1/USEPA/US@EPA,  
<K.C.Mitkevicius@nae02.usace.army.mil>, "L'Heureux, Paul G NAE"  
<Paul.G.L'Heureux@usace.army.mil>  
cc  
"Anderson, Michael \"(Boston)\"  
<Michael.Anderson@jacobs.com>,  
"Connor, Jackie"  
<Jackie.Connor@jacobs.com>, "Fox,  
Steve \"(New Bedford)\"  
<Steve.Fox@jacobs.com>,  
"Rigassio-Smith, Anita"  
<Anita.Rigassio-Smith@jacobs.com>  
, "Document Control - Bourne-New  
Bedford"  
<DocumentControl-Bourne-NewBedford@jacobs.com>  
Subject  
Draft Alternative #4 2-Cell at  
\$80M/YR

Please find attached the draft cost estimate for Alternative #4 2-CAD-Cell scenario at \$80M/year. Comments on the previous hybrid and T&D cost estimates have been incorporated into this cost estimate, as applicable, which have not yet been reflected in the hybrid and T&D estimates. Therefore, the three scenarios are similar but not entirely comparable at this stage. The three cost estimates will be revised with consistent assumptions for the ESD. Please let us know if you need revised cost estimates prior to the ESD estimates.

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.[attachment "Alt 4 2Cell 80M Transmittal.pdf" deleted by Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US] [attachment "Alt 4 Two Cell 80M Draft.pdf" deleted by Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US]

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this

message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.